

Liam Dillon: There was a city Ethics Commission fine from 2008. Part of what it said in there is that they contacted a representative from the NMA who expressly denied – and this is anti-booze ban, one of the anti-booze ban committees – expressly denied that they had any responsibility for this committee, when, in fact, the evidence said that they were responsible for it. Was that you who they contacted who denied that?

Mark Arabo: No.

Dillon: It wasn't you?

Arabo: 2008 and another Ethics Commission?

Dillon: There were two Ethics Commissions in 2008.

Arabo: We had two consultants that we used that we'll never use again. And we're not using them. But hey, if I'm the treasurer I take the blame. But we're not using the consultants and nor would I ever use them. I don't want to make them look bad by mentioning their names.

Dillon: Yeah. So it was not you who ...

Arabo: I don't remember.

Dillon: You don't remember.

Arabo: That's like seven years ago.

Dillon: Yeah.

Arabo: I don't remember. At all.

Dillon: OK.

Arabo: It wasn't like a big ...

Dillon: OK, well I've read a lot of those stipulations and it's very rare that you'll see something that speaks to that. That says the person or the organization that was involved denied that they were involved and the evidence said they were.

Arabo: You know, that wasn't me, no.