
From: Andy Berg <aberg@necasandiego.org>
Sent: Friday, April 03, 2015 5:13 PM
To: Larry Goshorn; Dulgeroff Lee
Cc: Independent Citizens Oversight Committee
Subject: Re: ICOC: Stadium Lights

I don't necessarily disagree, but if the court is not demanding the repayment of funds, then was the money spent inappropriately? If it was, wouldn't the court have ordered the money repaid?

Anyway, we may be getting ahead of ourselves. I am not certain that the District has not repaid the funds used for the one project that the court ruled on.

We need to hear from Lee. Depending on what he says, I would not be opposed too reporting that the District still needs to reimburse Prop S for the Hoover lights

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

Original Message

From: Larry Goshorn
Sent: Friday, April 3, 2015 5:03 PM
To: Andy Berg; Lee Dulgeroff
Cc: Anny Volves
Subject: Re: ICOC: Stadium Lights

I'm trying to focus on ICOC duties here.

I can understand if the District is waiting for specific court direction before acting.

Given that the ICOC is aware of the court decision, I would think that the ICOC should be reporting to the public that there is an amount (an unreimbursed amount) of bond funds that paid for field lights (that have been specifically identified by the courts as non-bond project work).

However, if those bond expenditures have been reimbursed (un-spent) then current reporting and performance audit conclusions seem accurate.

larry :-)

=====
Larry Goshorn, President
Colbi Technologies, Inc.
office: 714-505-9544
cell: 714-231-0148

Helping build your future. We provide software tools and training that help facilities and financial professionals build schools.

On 4/3/15, 4:42 PM, "Andy Berg" <aberg@necasandiego.org> wrote:

>I need my memory refreshed on what exactly the court said.

>

>Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

> Original Message

>From: Larry Goshorn

>Sent: Friday, April 3, 2015 4:31 PM

>To: Lee Dulgeroff; Andy Berg

>Cc: Anny Volves

>Subject: Re: ICOC: Stadium Lights

>

>

>I recall discussions at an ICOC mtg re: estimating/extracting the cost
>of the lights. It was my understanding that the purpose of that
>exercise was to identify how much needed to be reimbursed < but I may be mistaken.

>

>The ICOC's job is report if bond funds are spent on bond projects. The
>court decision changed history, and established a formal determination
>that expenditures on field lighting were not for bond projects.

>

>So either: 1) all bond dollars spent on lights have been reimbursed
>from other sources, or 2) the \$ amount that was spent on field lights,
>which were subsequently identified by a court decision as not being
>bond projects, remain as spent bond funds for non-bond projects.

>

>In the 1st case reporting that "Props. S and Z funds were expended only
>on the specific projects listed in the bonds² is an accurate report.
>However, in the 2nd case there are unreimbursed spent bond funds that
>paid for non-bond projects and reporting that all bond funds were spent
>on bond projects is inaccurate.

>

>Is this logic correct? Or am I missing something here?

>

>larry :-)

>=====

>Larry Goshorn, President

>Colbi Technologies, Inc.

> office: 714-505-9544

> cell: 714-231-0148

>

>Helping build your future. We provide software tools and training that
>help facilities and financial professionals build schools.

>

>

>

>

>On 4/3/15, 9:35 AM, "Andy Berg" <aberg@necasandiego.org> wrote:

>

>>My understanding was that the original court decision did not require
>>the repayment of the bond money and that the District was waiting for further
>>court guidance. Lee would probably know for sure.

>>

>>Andy

>>

>>Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

>> Original Message

>>From: Larry Goshorn

>>Sent: Friday, April 3, 2015 8:39 AM

>>To: Andy Berg; Lee Dulgeroff

>>Cc: Anny Volves

>>Subject: ICOC: Stadium Lights

>>

>>

>>Per attached, there's a new appellate court date about reimbursement

>>of bond funds for lights at Hoover, Clairemont, Madison, Morse and

>>University City.

>>

>>I though staff had reported to the ICOC that bond expenditures related

>>to lights had been reimbursed. Was it Hoover lights only? And as I

>>recall the \$ amount quoted for Hoover lights was lower. Is my memory faulty?

>>

>>larry :-)

>>=====

>>Larry Goshorn, President

>>Colbi Technologies, Inc.

>> office: 714-505-9544

>> cell: 714-231-0148

>>

>>Helping build your future. We provide software tools and training that

>>help facilities and financial professionals build schools.

>