1	STACEY FULHORST, Executive Director		
2	City of San Diego Ethics Commission 450 B Street, Suite 780		
3	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 533-3476		
4	Petitioner		
5			
6			
7	BEFORE THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO		
8	ETHICS COMMISSION		
9			
10	In re the Matter of:) Case No.: 2018-05	
11	PHIL RATH,) STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER	
12	Respondent.) OKDEK)	
13))	
14			
15	STI	PULATION	
16	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:		
17	Petitioner Stacey Fulhorst is the Executive Director of the City of San Diego Ethics		
18	Commission [Ethics Commission]. The Ethics Commission is charged with a duty to administer		
19	implement, and enforce local governmental ethics laws contained in the San Diego Municipal		
20	Code [SDMC] relating to, among other things, the provisions of the City of San Diego Ethics		
21	Ordinance [Ethics Ordinance], SDMC section 27.3501, et seq.		
22	2. At all times mentioned herein, I	Phil Rath was a member of the Civic San Diego	
23	Board of Directors [Civic Board] and held a 49% ownership interest in Rath Miller, LLC. Mr.		
24	Rath is referred to herein as "Respondent."		
25	3. This Stipulation will be submitt	ed for consideration by the Ethics Commission at its	
26	next scheduled meeting, and the agreements contained herein are contingent upon the approval		
27	of the Stipulation and the accompanying Decision and Order by the Ethics Commission.		
28	///		

STIPULATION, DECISION, AND ORDER

27

28

///

2

3 4

5

6

7

9

11

10

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Summary of Law and Facts

- As a member of the Civic Board, Respondent is a "Local Code Filer" as that term is defined by SDMC section 27.3503, and is required to regularly file Form 700 Statements of Economic Interests [SEIs] in the time and manner set forth in SDMC section 27.3510.
- 10. SDMC section 27.3510 requires that all Local Code Filers file an assuming office SEI within 30 days of assuming office covering the previous twelve-month period, and an annual SEI on or before April 1 of each year covering the period from January 1 (or the assuming office date) through December 31 of the previous calendar year, pursuant to the applicable Conflict of Interest Code adopted by the City Council.
- 11. According to SDMC section 27.3510 and California Government Code section 82030, a filer's sources of income include the filer's pro rata share of income received by any business entity in which the filer has a ten percent or greater ownership interest. In addition, California Government Code section 87207 states that filers must disclose the names of sources of income to a business entity if the filer's pro rata share of the gross income from a single reportable source was \$10,000 or more during the reporting period.
- 12. On November 8, 2013, Rath Miller entered into an agreement with developer Affirmed Housing Group [Affirmed] to provide public affairs services in connection with Affirmed's efforts to obtain funding from the County of San Diego for an affordable housing project located in San Marcos. In compensation for the firm's services, Rath Miller received its first payment from Affirmed for \$22,000 on December 18, 2014. The second payment in the amount of \$100,000 was initially due on March 31, 2015, but the deadline was extended in response to a request from the client and payment was ultimately made on August 8, 2015.
- 13. On July 28, 2015, Respondent assumed office as a member of the Civic Board. On August 28, 2015, he filed an assuming office SEI. In accordance with the Conflict of Interest Code adopted by the City Council for the Board, Respondent was required to disclose income from any entity engaged in land development, construction, and/or land use consulting within the City of San Diego. Affirmed engages in land development and within the City of San Diego. Respondent disclosed his ownership interest of ten percent or greater in Rath Miller, as well as

28 | | / / /

four reportable sources of income of \$10,000 or more received through the firm, but did not disclose his portion of the income received from Affirmed during the reporting period, even though Affirmed was a reportable source of income.

- 14. On January 25, 2016, Respondent filed his 2015 annual SEI covering the period from July 28, 2015, through December 31, 2015. In accordance with the Conflict of Interest Code adopted by the City Council for the Board, Respondent was required to disclose income from any entity engaged in land development, construction, and/or land use consulting within the City of San Diego. Respondent disclosed his ownership interest of ten percent or greater in Rath Miller, as well as seven reportable sources of income of \$10,000 or more received through the firm, but did not disclose his portion of the income received from Affirmed during the reporting period, even though Affirmed was a reportable source of income.
- 15. SDMC section 27.3561 prohibits City Officials from making or participating in a municipal decision if the decision will impact their financial interests, including sources of income of \$500 or more within the previous twelve-month period.
- 16. On January 5, 2016, in response to a Request for Proposals issued by Civic San Diego, Affirmed submitted one of three bids to develop a 143,800-square foot mixed use project at the northwest corner of Hilltop Drive and Euclid Avenue in the Chollas View neighborhood of the Encanto Neighborhoods Community Planning Area in the City of San Diego [Hilltop & Euclid project].
- 17. On June 8, 2016, the Real Estate and Budget/Finance Joint Committee of the Civic Board voted (6 0, 1 abstention) to approve the staff recommendation to enter into an Exclusive Negotiation Agreement with Affirmed to develop the Hilltop & Euclid project. Respondent was a member of the Committee and participated in this matter, despite the fact that he had received more than \$500 in income from Affirmed on August 8, 2015, within the previous twelve-month period. In addition to voting in favor of supporting the staff recommendation, Respondent participated in the discussion concerning procedural issues and the selection of Affirmed, commenting that "I do believe that the Affirmed project is the best option for this organization."

1	18. On June 22, 2016, the Civic Board voted $(6-1)$ to recommend that the City		
2	Council enter into an Exclusive Negotiation Agreement with Affirmed to develop the Hilltop &		
3	Euclid project. Respondent participated in this matter, despite the fact that he had received more		
4	than \$500 in income from Affirmed within the previous twelve-month period. In addition to		
5	voting in favor of the motion concerning the recommendation to the City Council, Respondent		
6	participated in the discussion and opined as follows concerning the selection of Affirmed: "[I]t		
7	clear that this is the winner of this contest and who I think we should proceed with."		
8	<u>Counts</u>		
9	Counts 1 and 2 – Violations of SDMC section 27.3510		
10	19. Respondent violated SDMC section 27.3510 by failing to timely disclose income		
11	received from a reportable source on his assuming office SEI.		
12	20. Respondent violated SDMC section 27.3510 by failing to timely disclose income		
13	received from a reportable source on his 2015 annual SEI.		
14	Counts 3 and 4 – Violation of SDMC section 27.3561		
15	21. Respondent violated SDMC section 27.3561 by participating in a decision by the		
16	Real Estate and Budget/Finance Joint Committee of the Civic Board that financially benefited		
17	Affirmed, one of Respondent's sources of income within the previous twelve-month period.		
18	22. Respondent violated SDMC section 27.3561 by participating in a Civic Board		
19	decision that financially benefited Affirmed, one of Respondent's sources of income within the		
20	previous twelve-month period.		
21	<u>Conclusion</u>		
22	23. Respondent agrees to file amendments to his assuming office and 2015 annual SEI		
23	on or before August 9, 2018, to disclose income he received from Affirmed Housing Group.		
24	24. Respondent agrees to take necessary and prudent precautions to ensure compliance		
25	with all provisions of the Ethics Ordinance in the future.		
26	25. Respondent acknowledges that the Ethics Commission may impose increased fines		
27	in connection with any future violations of the City's Ethics Ordinance.		
,,			

	11		
1	26. Respondent agrees to pay a fine in the amount of \$11,000 for violating SDMC		
2	sections 27.3510 and 27.3561. This amount must be paid no later than August 3, 2018, by check		
3	or money order payable to the City Treasurer and delivered to the Ethics Commission office.		
4	The submitted payment will be held pending Commission approval of this Stipulation and		
5	execution of the Decision and Order portion set forth below.		
6			
7			
8	DATED:	[REDACTED]	
9		Stacey Fulhorst, Petitioner SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION	
10			
11	DATED:	[REDACTED]	
12	11	Phil Rath, Respondent	
13			
14			
15	DECISION AND ORDER		
16	The Ethics Commission considered the above Stipulation at its meeting on August 9,		
17	2018. The Ethics Commission hereby approves the Stipulation and orders that, in accordance		
18	with the Stipulation, Respondent pay a fine in the amount of \$11,000.		
19			
20	DATED:	[REDACTED]	
21		Deborah Cochran, Chair SAN DIEGO ETHICS COMMISSION	
22		SAN DIEGO ETTICS COMMISSION	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	II.		