The Zoning System

ZONING cities, as proposed by Charles G. Edeworth, president of the New York City, is a good idea, not only in the opportunity it affords for beautifying various sections of the city, but also in establishing property values and maintaining the permanent residence districts. It is said, some have shorter terms in the city because of the risk of value depreciation by reason of objectionable industries. Only a few days ago, a resident section was "ruined" by the "invasion" of a "slum" of tenements which was being established in the city. It has been possible also, for persons holding a "slum" of tenements, to injure the value of property in the district by introducing undesirable features contiguous to the property that would make it less desirable for the purposes of the user.

It is definitely legitimate, and clearly leads to the establishment of a zoning system which is within the scope of the law, and which is in the best interest of the public welfare. The chief merit of the proposition, however, is in its clear and distinct effect upon property values. It is impossible to say just what percentage of the value of property will be made more desirable or less favorable for the purpose of the user.

Costly Oratory

THERE is too much debate in Congress and elsewhere in the state when the rules are more than those in the house, over trivial and futile subjects. What is needed is the amendment of some of the rules of procedure which are intended to the advantage of Republicans whose chief interest seems to be regulating the administration, and, in general, the public interest in the advance of the policies of President Harding and his associates.

These are more a matter of habit than of law, and the amendment of the rules is the work of the House of Representatives. It is not a question of the character of the administration, but of the character of the people who control the administration. It is not a question of the character of the administration, but of the character of the people who control the administration.

Uniform Censorship

WILLIAM C. DR. MILLER writes unerringly and conclusively in the Los Angeles Times Picture Censorship, in the course of which he "reaffirms against the new art by subjecting it to restrictions to which, we are subjected." Herein Mr. Dr. Miller exposes the injurious effect of censorship upon the art.

A Free Booklet Of Meat Facts And Recipes

All kinds of meat are a valuable provision that intelligent people should add to their diet. Here is a booklet of meat facts and recipes that will be very welcome. The booklet contains a list of meat recipes that are good for the heart and blood, and will be found in every household. The booklet is a valuable provision that intelligent people should add to their diet.

An Effective Remedy

RICKLESS and inconsiderate automobile drivers are still numerous in San Diego, as indicated by the report of the health department for the month of May which lists three deaths, forty persons injured and 210 accidents from the cause. At least half of these persons were utterly incompetent in the automobile machine, and it is likely that more than two-thirds of them would have been killed had they been operated by a person apprehensive in the resistible principles of automobile driving. Hence they will never prevent these "accidents." A competent driver can drive his machine safely in all circumstances at sixty miles an hour, an incompetent driver will run and kill at a speed of only ten miles an hour.

These incompetents should be deprived of the use of their rights to drive an automobile.

More Truth Than Poetry

By JAMES J. MONLAGE

THE BULS OF REASON
(A poem in praise of the common-sense men)

Great States are not I mainly care,
That they go on the best feet.
No matter if the President be
A Democrat or a Republican.

I care not if the President be
A Democrat or a Republican,
So long as he keeps the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.

He's the American's first care.
I am not interested in office,
I am interested in the people.