Just a quick thank you note regarding the passage of Pure Water today.

Also to prevent any future confusion, the language regarding a Skilled and Trained Workforce, Veterans Hiring, and Joint Labor-Management Apprenticeship programs was unanimously approved as a guiding policy today by the SD Building Trades, joining our long-standing pro-PLA policy.

Get Outlook for iOS

Thank you, Kelvin.

I see you’ve been busy.

For the sake of transparency, I want to be clear that the BT Council worked towards a straight PLA for Pure Water, but unsurprisingly, the Mayor outright rejected it. So you can see by my April 10th letter (attached), we settled on skilled and trained workforce language, a Joint Labor Management Apprenticeship Programs requirement, and veterans programs.

Should you need any additional information, please give me a call.

Tom
858.518.9832
Sent: Friday, November 30, 2018 2:25 PM  
To: bernadette@ualocal230.org; Gretchen Newsom <gnewsom@ibew569.org>; Tom Lemmon <tom@sdbuildingtrades.com>  
Subject: Fwd: Pure Water San Diego - Proposed Construction Contract Requirements

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Valentine Macedo <vmacedo@local89.org>  
Date: November 30, 2018 at 1:48:36 PM PST  
To: <georgettegomez@sandiego.gov>  
Cc: Alexander B Cvitan <alc@rac-law.com>, <kbarrios@sandiego.gov>  
Subject: Pure Water San Diego - Proposed Construction Contract Requirements

Dear Honorable Councilmember Gomez,

Please see the attached letter regarding the proposed construction contract requirements for Pure Water San Diego.

Respectfully,

---

Valentine R. Macedo  
Business Manager/Secretary Treasurer  
LiUNA Local 89  
Office: (619)263-6661  
Cell: (619)240-6279  
Fax: (619)727-4385  
www.local89.org  

FEEL THE POWER!
Thank you, Kelvin.

I see you’ve been busy.

For the sake of transparency, I want to be clear that the BT Council worked towards a straight PLA for Pure Water, but unsurprisingly, the Mayor outright rejected it. So you can see by my April 10th letter (attached), we settled on skilled and trained workforce language, a Joint Labor Management Apprenticeship Programs requirement, and veterans programs.

Should you need any additional information, please give me a call.

Tom
858.518.9832

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Valentine Macedo <vmacedo@local89.org>
Date: November 30, 2018 at 1:48:36 PM PST
To: <georgettegomez@sandiego.gov>
Cc: Alexander B Cvitan <alc@rac-law.com>, <kbarrios@sandiego.gov>
Subject: Pure Water San Diego - Proposed Construction Contract Requirements

Dear Honorable Councilmember Gomez,

Please see the attached letter regarding the proposed construction contract requirements for Pure Water San Diego.

Respectfully,

--
April 6, 2018

Kevin Faulconer, Mayor of San Diego
202 C Street, 11th Floor
San Diego, CA 92101

Re: Item 332: As-Needed Construction Management Services – Conveyance Projects Contract with CH2M Hill, Inc. - Contract Number H176955 and


Mayor Faulconer and Council Members of San Diego,

On behalf of the San Diego County Building and Construction Trades Council, our 21 affiliated unions and 35,000 members, we will strongly support the City of San Diego’s Pure Water program with the following conditions mentioned in this letter.

The magnitude and scope of this project requires securing the availability of a trained and skilled workforce that utilizes joint labor management apprenticeship programs in order to avoid delay and budget overruns, and to ensure the safety and quality of the facilities constructed. A skilled and trained workforce will ensure that the Project achieves its environmental and public benefits and will reduce risks of project failure. Our skilled and trained workforce is ready to build the Pure Water system and make it operational. It will create thousands of jobs for union members in the construction trade. We would also like to ensure that our local veterans get to work in this pipeline of career development. To this end, the City of San Diego needs to ensure that the selected contractor shall partner with either the Veterans in Piping program or the Helmets to Hardhats program, and shall provide an enforceable commitment to hiring local veterans on this project. Our support is contingent on the City of San Diego using the above veterans workforce programs, Joint Labor Management apprenticeship Programs, and skilled and trained requirements consistent with Section 20175 of the California Public Contract Code as adopted by Chapter 821 of the Statutes of 2017 as it may hereafter be amended.

The City of San Diego’s Pure Water program can be an exemplary model of a cooperative partnership between environmental groups, labor unions, and city government. We urge you to ensure that construction contracts are not awarded without the use of skilled and trained workforce, Joint Labor Apprenticeship Programs, and our local veterans programs.
Sincerely,

Tom Lemmon  
Business Manager  
San Diego Building and Construction Trades Council

CC:  
San Diego City Council  
San Diego City Clerk
Valentine R. Macedo
Business Manager/Secretary Treasurer
LiUNA Local 89
Office: (619)263-6661
Cell: (619)240-6279
Fax: (619)727-4385
www.local89.org

FEEL THE POWER!
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Begin forwarded message:

From: Valentine Macedo <vmacedo@local89.org>
Date: November 30, 2018 at 1:48:36 PM PST
To: Councilmember Georgette Gomez <GeorgetteGomez@sandiego.gov>
Cc: Alexander B Cvitan <alc@rac-law.com>, "Barrios, Kelvin"
     <KBarrantias@sandiego.gov>
Subject: Pure Water San Diego - Proposed Construction Contract Requirements

Dear Honorable Councilmember Gomez,

Please see the attached letter regarding the proposed construction contract requirements for Pure Water San Diego.

Respectfully,

Valentine R. Macedo
Business Manager/Secretary Treasurer
LiUNA Local 89
Office: (619)263-6661
Cell: (619)240-6279
Fax: (619)727-4385
www.local89.org
FEEL THE POWER!
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Dear Honorable Councilmember Gomez,

Please see the attached letter regarding the proposed construction contract requirements for Pure Water San Diego.

Respectfully,

--

Valentine R. Macedo  
Business Manager/Secretary Treasurer  
LiUNA Local 89  
Office: (619)263-6661  
Cell: (619)240-6279  
Fax: (619)727-4385  
www.local89.org  
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This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com
November 30, 2018

Georgette Gomez
Councilmember
San Diego City Council
202 C Street, MS #10A
San Diego, CA 92101

Re: Pure Water San Diego - Proposed Construction Contract Requirements

Dear Councilmember:

As you know, Laborers Local 89 fully supports the Pure Water Project. It also supports the need to institute requirements to ensure that job quality standards are met. In this regard, the City Council adopted certain conditions for work on the Project at its meeting of November 15, 2018, including requirements for a skilled and trained workforce, a Joint Labor Management Apprenticeship program, a specialty license requirement, and a Veteran’s preference. We understand that these conditions are up for Council approval on Tuesday, December 4, 2018.

Local 89 strongly opposes two of these provisions that have nothing to do with the performance of quality work on the Project, but are appear to favor certain construction unions over others. These provisions are contrary to established law in the State of California.

The first provision is labelled “Specialty License Requirement (NCWRP expansion and AWTF).” This condition requires that all plumbing or pipefitting work “that falls within the classifications of a C-36 license” shall be performed by a contractor with a C-36 license; similarly, it requires that all work “that falls within the classifications of a C-10 License” shall be performed by a contractor with a C-10 license. First, there are no “classifications” contained in the C-36 and C-10 license descriptions. The regulations describe the type of work that these contractors can do, but not a “classification” of worker that must perform the work. Secondly, all the work described in the C-36 and C-10 licenses can be performed by a contractor with a General Engineering A License. There is no legitimate reason why the City Council should single out contractors that do pipefitting or electrical work as being required to have certain licenses. Every contractor on the Project must be...
properly licensed, and that is all the contract conditions should provide.

The second provision labelled “Joint Labor Management Apprenticeship Program” is even more objectionable. This requirement gets the City Council involved in an ongoing conflict between certain trades. As written, the condition states that “the apprentice must be supervised by a “graduate of an apprenticeship program … in the same craft or trade.” Who may supervise apprentices is dictated by state apprenticeship law; and there is simply no need for an additional requirement in these conditions. California Code of Regulations section 230.1(c) provides:

“Apprentices employed on public works can only be assigned to perform work of the craft or trade to which the apprentice is registered. Work of the craft or trade consists of job duties normally assigned to journeymen in the apprenticeable occupation. Where an employer employs apprentices under the rules and regulations of the California Apprenticeship Council, as set forth in Labor Code Section 1777.5 (c) (2), apprentices employed on public works must at all times work with or under the direct supervision of journeyman/men.” (Emphasis added).

This regulation contains no requirement that the supervising journeyman must be a graduate of any apprenticeship program, nor is there any such requirement anywhere in the California Code of Regulations or in the Labor Code. Many Laborers became journeymen prior to the establishment of the Laborers Apprenticeship program in 2000, so this requirement adversely affects them. The proposed requirement that the supervising journeyman be a graduate of the apprenticeship program contradicts clear state law to the contrary.

We are unsure of the genesis of the objectionable language. Laborers Local 89 is an affiliate of the San Diego Building and Construction Trades Council, and I can assure you that at no time did the Building Trades Council vote on or approve this language. I will be out of town for the next week, but if you have any questions I urge you to contact Local 89’s legal counsel, Al Cvitan, who can be reached at 213-386-3860 or alc@rac-law.com.

Sincerely,

Valentine R. Macedo

cc: Alexander B. Cvitan
--

Val Macedo Jr.
Business Representative/Political Coordinator
LiUNA Local 89
Office: (619) 263-6661
Cell: (619) 886-0184
www.local89.org
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Re: Pure Water San Diego - Proposed Construction Contract Requirements

Dear __________:

As you know, Laborers Local 89 fully supports the Pure Water Project. It also supports the need to institute requirements to ensure that job quality standards are met. In this regard, the City Council adopted certain conditions for work on the Project at its meeting of November 15, 2018, including requirements for a skilled and trained workforce, a Joint Labor Management Apprenticeship program, a specialty license requirement, and a Veteran’s preference. We understand that these conditions are up for Council approval on Tuesday, December 4, 2018.

Local 89 strongly opposes two of these provisions that have nothing to do with the performance of quality work on the Project, but are appear to favor certain construction unions over others. These provisions are contrary to established law in the State of California.

The first provision is labelled “Specialty License Requirement (NCWRP expansion and AWTF).” This condition requires that all plumbing or pipefitting work “that falls within the classifications of a C-36 license” shall be performed by a contractor with a C-36 license; similarly, it requires that all work “that falls within the classifications of a C-10 License” shall be performed by a contractor with a C-10 license. First, there are no “classifications” contained in the C-36 and C-10 license descriptions. The regulations describe the type of work that these contractors can do, but not a “classification” of worker that must perform the work. Secondly, all the work described in the C-36 and C-10 licenses can be performed by a contractor with a General Engineering A License. There is no legitimate reason why the City Council should single out contractors that do pipefitting or electrical work as being required to have certain licenses. Every contractor on the Project must be properly licensed, and that is all the contract conditions should provide.

The second provision labelled “Joint Labor Management Apprenticeship Program” is even more objectionable. This requirement gets the City Council involved in an ongoing conflict between certain trades. As written, the condition states that “the apprentice must be supervised by a “graduate of an apprenticeship program ... in the same craft or trade.” Who may supervise apprentices is dictated by state apprenticeship law; and there is simply no need for an additional requirement in these conditions. California Code of Regulations section 230.1(c) provides:

“Apprentices employed on public works can only be assigned to perform work of the craft or trade to which the apprentice is registered. Work of the craft or trade consists of job duties normally assigned to journeymen in the apprenticeable occupation. Where an employer employs apprentices under the rules and regulations of the California Apprenticeship Council, as set forth in Labor Code Section 1777.5 (c) (2), apprentices employed on public works must at all times work with or under the direct supervision of journeyman/men.” (Emphasis added).
This regulation contains no requirement that the supervising journeyperson must be a graduate of any apprenticeship program, nor is there any such requirement anywhere in the California Code of Regulations or in the Labor Code. Many Laborers became journeypersons prior to the establishment of the Laborers Apprenticeship program in 2000, so this requirement adversely affects them. The proposed retirement that the supervising journeyperson be a graduate of the apprenticeship program contradicts clear state law to the contrary.

We are unsure of the genesis of the objectionable language. Laborers Local 89 is an affiliate of the San Diego Building and Construction Trades Council, and I can assure you that at no time did the Building Trades Council vote on or approve this language. I will be out of town for the next week, but if you have any questions I urge you to contact Local 89’s legal counsel, Al Cvitan, who can be reached at 213-386-3860 or alc@rac-law.com.

Sincerely,

Valentine R. Macedo

cc: Alexander B. Cvitan
Dear Councilmember Gómez:
(and Lara, Kelvin, and Roberto)

Please see the below email, sent on behalf of Marco Gonzalez and all of us with the Coastal Environmental Rights Foundation.

As you know, next week’s vote on the Pure Water Final EIR is one of, if not the, most important environmental issue to face the City of San Diego during your time on the City Council. We have consistently been able to rely on you to be a champion of clean water and of this project in particular. I write on behalf of the Coastal Environmental Rights Foundation and the unprecedented coalition of community groups that make up the Water Reliability Coalition to urge your support again next week.

As is often the case, there is much maneuvering at the last minute by groups who believe they are being asked to shoulder a disproportionate share of the burden of this project. In particular, for a number of weeks I have heard the pleas of the representatives of Murphy Development and their claims that PUD has given their preferred alignment alternative short shrift in the environmental review process. I would like to register my vehement disagreement with this assertion.

Since the issue was brought to my attention, I have reviewed the technical appendices wherein the alignment’s impacts were considered and deemed significantly more expensive and environmentally disfavored compared to that which goes through their property. Indeed, even as the matter escalated before the last Environmental Committee meeting, I asked staff on behalf of our environmental coalition (the signatories to the Cooperative Agreement that paved the way for Pure Water in the first place) to further review the assumptions and analysis in its documents, and to realistically consider the costs and impacts as proffered by the Murphy Development team. Without hesitation, after reviewing the Murphy Development materials and that in the City’s record, I was convinced both that the chosen alignment is the environmentally and fiscally prudent one, and, importantly, that the overriding concern of Murphy Development is for the temporary inconvenience to be experienced at their technology campus for the couple of months work would have to be done there. To be absolutely clear, and its’ not that hard to understand how this would be, Murphy cares more about impacts to its interests than the benefits to the City as a whole.

From our perspective, and we hope you agree, this project is far too important to the entire citizenry of San Diego and the region to allow these last minute efforts to derail the historic Council support for Pure Water.

We are still in a drought, and the fights between the County Water Authority and Metropolitan Water District make it all that much more important that San Diego secure its own future water
reliability. You of course will recall that the City’s 301h waiver for discharges at Point Loma and the avoidance of costs to build that treatment plant to full secondary standards is predicated upon the offloading of a significant volume of the sewage currently treated there. Pure Water is the only way to accomplish this.

We worked hard as a coalition with the City to educate regulators at the state and federal levels regarding not only the propriety of this scheme, but also the inherent long term value of setting a precedent for sustainable water planning. To the extent Pure Water suffers any significant setback, much of the trust and value of our coalition and effort will be lost.

We worked incredibly hard with the City to get Pure Water to this point. Please help us bring this project across the finish line and vote to approve the Final EIR next week.

Do not hesitate to contact me with questions or concerns regarding the foregoing or any aspect of the project.

- Marco Gonzalez  
  Executive Director  
  Coastal Environmental Rights Foundation  

  Managing Partner  
  Coast Law Group LLP

__________________________
1140 S. Coast Hwy 101  
Encinitas, CA 92024  
760.942.89505  
www.coastlawgroup.com
Representatives from Pure Water San Diego will be providing various presentations in the next couple of weeks discussing the Phase One projects, which will help provide one-third of San Diego’s water supply locally by 2035. Visit the new interactive map here: https://goo.gl/k319pt to learn more about the projects, or attend any of the upcoming presentations:

Monday, May 22 at 5:30 p.m.
Linda Vista Community Planning Group
Linda Vista Branch Library, Community Room
2160 Ulric St., San Diego, CA 92111

Tuesday, June 13 at 6 p.m.
University Community...Read more

May 19 in General to all areas in City of San Diego

You can also reply to this email or use Nextdoor for iPhone or Android

This message is intended for kbarrios@sandiego.gov.
Not interested in hearing from the City of San Diego? Adjust your email settings

Nextdoor, 875 Stevenson Street, Suite 700, San Francisco, CA 94103
Best,

Keryna Johnson
Office of Councilmember Georgette Gómez
Phone: (619) 236-6725
Email: JKeryna@sandiego.gov

Please note my email address has changed

From: Bukalova, Dominika
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 3:37 PM
To: CD9-All <CD9-All@sandiego.gov>; Gomez, Georgette <GomezG@sandiego.gov>
Subject: FW: FY2018 Proposed CIP Budget - Anticipated Projects Funded by Annual Allocations

FYI

From: FMGT Financial Management
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 2:07 PM
To: Councilmember Myrtle Cole <MyrtleCole@sandiego.gov>; Councilmember Barbara Bry <BarbaraBry@sandiego.gov>; CouncilMember Chris Cate <ChrisCate@sandiego.gov>; Councilmember Christopher Ward <ChristopherWard@sandiego.gov>; CouncilMember David Alvarez <DavidAlvarez@sandiego.gov>; Councilmember Georgette Gomez <GeorgetteGomez@sandiego.gov>; CouncilMember Lorie Zapf <LorieZapf@sandiego.gov>; Councilmember Mark Kersey <MarkKersey@sandiego.gov>; Councilmember Scott Sherman <ScottSherman@sandiego.gov>
Cc: Mayor Kevin Faulconer <KevinFaulconer@sandiego.gov>; Puetz, Stephen <SPuetz@sandiego.gov>; Hansen, Mike <MHansen@sandiego.gov>; Gavaldon, Alejandra <AGavaldon@sandiego.gov>; Lawrence, Jessica <JLawrence@sandiego.gov>; Anderson, Marshall <andersonm@sandiego.gov>; Chadwick, Scott <Schadwick@sandiego.gov>; LoMedico, Stacey <SLomedico@sandiego.gov>; Lewis, Mary <MLewis@sandiego.gov>; Gomez, Paz <pgomez@sandiego.gov>; Graham, David <GrahamD@sandiego.gov>; Villa, Ron <RHVilla@sandiego.gov>; Tevlin, Andrea <ATeviln@sandiego.gov>; Sierra, Mario <MSierra@sandiego.gov>; Parker, Herman <HParker@sandiego.gov>; Razak, Halla <HRazak@sandiego.gov>; Nagelvoort, James <JNagelvoort@sandiego.gov>; McFadden, Kris <KMcFadden@sandiego.gov>; Vespi, Matthew <MVespi@sandiego.gov>; Clark, Michael <MLClark@sandiego.gov>; Battaglia, Thomas <TBattaglia@sandiego.gov>; Jones, Adam <JonesAL@sandiego.gov>; Del Rio, Eduardo <EDelRio@sandiego.gov>; Garcia, Caroline <CarolineG@sandiego.gov>; Vinegar, Shalonda <SVinegar@sandiego.gov>; McCraner, Tracy
Subject: FY2018 Proposed CIP Budget - Anticipated Projects Funded by Annual Allocations

To: Honorable Council President Myrtle Cole and Honorable City Councilmembers

Please see memo and one (1) attachment regarding Fiscal Year 2018 Proposed CIP Budget: Anticipated Sub-Projects to be Funded by Annual Allocations from Tracy McCreaner, Financial Management Director.

Thank you,

-Financial Management
Councilmember Alvarez,

Please see attached Council District 9 Committee Priorities memo. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit the memo.

Lara Gates

---

Lara Gates  
Chief of Policy  
Council District 9  
202 C Street, MS-10A  
San Diego CA 92101  
Phone: 619-236-7754  
Email: Lgates@sandiego.gov
MEMORANDUM

DATE: January 20, 2017

TO: Councilmember David Alvarez, Chair of Environment Committee

FROM: Councilmember Georgette Gómez, District 9

SUBJECT: 2017 Environment Committee Priorities

In response to your memo dated January 5, 2017, I am pleased to submit my Environment Committee priorities for consideration.

San Diego is the eighth largest city in the nation and it is imperative that the City provide strong leadership in implementing the 2015 Climate Action Plan (CAP). Climate change is a real and undeniable fact that our City, State, and Nation must address. The five strategies outlined in the CAP provide the direction to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions to achieve the 2020 and 2035 targets locally. In order to implement the five CAP strategies included below, I respectfully request the Environment Committee consider the following priorities:

1. Energy and Water Efficient Buildings:
   b. Request a report to evaluate mechanisms to curtail water loss in the City’s delivery system, including evaluating investing in new technology and/or repairs to current pipelines.

2. Clean and Renewable Energy:
   a. Implement Community Choice Aggregation to support customer’s right to choose their service provider.
   b. Develop community by community housing and transportation targets in alignment with the CAP.
   c. Support and monitor the Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) programs.
   d. Support the installation of residential solar projects including reviewing the Development Services Department’s permitting process.
   e. Promote and apply to programs that enable partnerships with solar installers for multi-family low income housing.
3. Bicycling, Walking, Transit and Land Use:
   a. Request a report on the City’s Transportation Demand Management (TDM) program and its success in reducing single occupancy vehicle trips and encouraging City employees to use alternate forms of transportation in order to reduce air pollution.
   b. Develop a new priority ranking system for capital improvement projects that prioritizes the completion of infrastructure projects in transit priority areas.
   c. Request regular briefings from SANDAG on the implementation of their regional infrastructure projects including bicycle facility plans and the development of the new trolley lines.

4. Zero Waste Gas and Waste Management:
   a. Request a report on the recommendations for diverting 332,000 tons of waste that was included in the 6/17/2015 Environment Committee report on the Zero Waste Plan.
   b. Request a report on the economic feasibility of instituting weekly municipal recycling services.
   c. Request a report on the effect of the plastic bag ban, and evaluate the feasibility of expanding the ban to include other materials.

5. Climate Resiliency:
   a. Request the City Council to fund the Climate Adaptation Plan in the 2018 budget.
   b. Work with the Economic Development Department to create an equity framework to create policies in order direct resources to disadvantaged communities utilizing the CAL-Enviro Screen.
   c. Continue monitoring the implementation of the Climate Action Plan and request a report on meeting the CAP goals for transit, biking and walking in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) to meet GHG targets for 2020 and 2035.
   d. Request a report on public and private infrastructure projects that implement the Chollas Creek Enhancement Program in order to reduce heavy metals and other toxic elements that ultimately flow into the San Diego Bay.
   e. Request a report on the number of shade-producing trees planted in the City over the past 5 years and evaluate how to increase the number of trees planted in communities south of Interstate 8.
   f. Request a report on the City’s Sustainable Landscapes Retrofitting program that provides financial incentives for grass replacement and micro-irrigation rebate programs to determine if the program could be expanded.
   g. Develop innovative strategies for reducing vehicular air pollution including assessing algae CO2 absorption units along our freeway systems by engaging CALTRANS and UCSD’s California Center for Algae biotechnology.

6. Water Resources:
   a. Continue to receive updates on the Pure Water Project.
   b. Request an update on the City’s rain harvesting rebate program.
   c. Request an update on the City’s graywater rebate program.
   d. Consider the current level of purple pipe connections and discuss opportunities and trade-offs to expand the existing network.
   e. Initiate research to determine the feasibility of implementing atmospheric water capture systems. Partner with local universities develop innovative solutions, such as atmospheric water capture systems, to move the City further along on water independence.

GG:lg

cc: Kevin Faulconer, Mayor
    Mara Elliot, City Attorney
    Andrea Tevlin, Independent Budget Analyst